

**Table 2** Details of the 3 studies of IPS-Empress crowns reviewed

Reference	Type of study	Assessment criteria	No. of clinicians	No. of patients	No. of crowns	Position of tooth	Cement	Follow-up (months)	No. (and %) failures	Survival rate	Causes of failure
Sjögren and others <sup>1</sup>	Retrospective case series	CDA	5	29	75 crowns 35 onlays	43 anterior 25 premolar 42 molar	RC Cement	42	7 (6)	92% at 3.5 years	Fracture (1 incisor, 3 premolars, 3 molars)
Sorensen and others <sup>19</sup>	Prospective clinical trial	Modified USPHS	NS	33	75	47 anterior 15 premolar 13 molar	Dual Variolink	14-42	1 (1)	99% at 3 years	Fracture (1 molar)
Fradeani and Aquilano <sup>9</sup>	Case series	Authors' criteria	1	55	144	101 anterior 28 premolar 15 molar	Dual Variolink Zinc Phosphate	6-68	5 (3)	95% at 3 years	Core failure (2) Fracture (1 incisor, 2 molars)

USPHS = United States Public Health Service, CDA = California Dental Association, NS = not stated, RC = resin composite.  
Dual, Variolink (Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein)  
Zinc Phosphate (DeTrey/Dentsply, Weybridge, UK)