

The Oral Effects of Smokeless Tobacco

(Effets bucco-dentaires du tabac à chiquer)

- Priscilla M. Walsh, B.Sc., DDS •
- Joel B. Epstein, DMD, MSD •

S o m m a i r e

La consommation de tabac à chiquer a progressé rapidement en Amérique du Nord. Or, celle-ci a de nombreux effets néfastes sur la santé bucco-dentaire, dont la leucoplasie, le cancer de la bouche, la récession du parodonte, sans parler des taches que ce type de tabac laisse sur les dents et les restaurations en résine composite. Des effets systémiques comme la dépendance à la nicotine, l'hypertension transitoire et les maladies cardio-vasculaires peuvent également résulter de la consommation de ce type de tabac. Cet article vise à aider les praticiens dentistes à identifier les lésions bucco-dentaires engendrées par la consommation de tabac à chiquer et à leur proposer des directives sur les conseils à prodiguer aux patients qui souhaitent cesser d'en consommer.

Mots clés MeSH : leukoplakia, oral; mouth neoplasms; tobacco, smokeless

© J Can Dent Assoc 2000; 66:22-5

Cet article a fait l'objet d'une révision par des pairs.

The extent of smokeless tobacco use in Canada has been reported in several studies. A study based on the results of the 1986 Canadian Labour Force Survey reported that smokeless tobacco use was mainly confined to the male population.¹ It further reported that 0.7% of males aged 15 and over used chewing tobacco, and 0.4% used snuff. In 1986, the Canadian Labour Force Survey found that most snuff use was in Western Canada, whereas tobacco chewing was not prevalent in Western and Atlantic Canada.² A New Brunswick survey found that 5.4% of children in grades 7 to 12 were regular users (more than once a day) of smokeless tobacco.³ Hoover and others⁴ reported on a survey of 1,170 Canadian Native children in northern Saskatchewan. Thirty per cent of these children used smokeless tobacco, and half of them had started before the age of 12.⁴ A longitudinal study⁵ in Calgary found that the use of smokeless tobacco by students in the 6th, 7th and 8th grades increased from 1.1% in 1988 to 4.2% in 1990.⁵

The deleterious effects of smokeless tobacco use are perhaps not as well known as those produced by smoking.⁶ Smokeless tobacco use has been recognized as a cause of cancer.⁷ Other oral effects of smokeless tobacco include leukoplakia, periodontal disease, delayed wound healing and dental caries.⁸⁻¹² Dental professionals are ideally placed for early detection of oral lesions associated with smokeless tobacco use, not only because the primary focus of the dental examination is intraoral but also because patients are seen on a regular basis. This paper reviews the forms of smokeless tobacco and the mucosal, periodontal and dental

changes that may occur as a result of its use. The relationship between oral lesions and oral cancer is examined, and recommendations to the dental profession on the management of smokeless tobacco users are provided.

Smokeless Tobacco Products

Smokeless tobacco exists in two major forms: snuff and chewing tobacco.

Snuff may be moist or dry. Moist snuff is usually taken orally. This product is sold in small round cans, in which the snuff is loosely packed, or in small, tea-bag-like sachets. Dry snuff, which is less commonly used, is usually inhaled through the nose.

Chewing tobacco is coarser than snuff and exists in three forms: looseleaf (sold in a soft package or pouch), plug (sold in a small block) and twist (dried tobacco leaves that are twisted into strands). Chewing tobacco is usually placed in the buccal vestibule. It is referred to as a "chaw" or "quid" of chewing tobacco. The quid may be retained in the mouth for hours, and the user expectorates the saliva that mixes with the tobacco extract.

The chemical carcinogens in smokeless tobacco include polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (usually benzo[a]pyrene), polonium 210, and N-nitrosamines. Other chemicals include radium-226 and lead-210.² Studies in experimental animals showed that benzo[a]pyrene and one of its metabolites induced oral and perioral skin cancer.¹³ There is an association between the tobacco-specific N-nitrosamines in smokeless tobacco and cancers of the upper digestive tract (esophagus and stomach) and mouth.¹⁴⁻¹⁶

Effects of Smokeless Tobacco

Oral Mucosa

The oral effects of smokeless tobacco are typically seen on the mucosal surfaces where the product is placed, as well as on the adjacent periodontium. Clinically, the lesion is usually clearly demarcated from the normal tissues. The affected site or lesion can be a white or yellow-brown colour and it may develop a thickened and wrinkled appearance with increased use of the tobacco product.¹⁷ The best clinical diagnosis that should be assigned to these white lesions is tobacco-associated leukoplakia. By definition, leukoplakia is a white patch or plaque that cannot be characterized clinically or pathologically as any other disease.¹⁸ The relationship between leukoplakia and malignant transformation is discussed below.

Histologically, the changes range from hyperparakeratosis to epithelial dysplasia.¹³ Studies have found a correlation between low vitamin C intake and the presence of an oral lesion.¹³ The prevalence and severity of lesions demonstrate a dose-response relationship, which is best predicted by the amount, frequency and duration of smokeless tobacco use.⁹

Relationship Between Oral Lesions and Oral Cancer

Leukoplakia is a premalignant lesion.¹⁹ Based on numerous studies, the consensus is that smokeless tobacco use can cause oral cancer.^{14-16,20-22} What is of importance to the dental practitioner is whether and when a tobacco-associated leukoplakia will transform into a malignancy. A review of the literature reveals two different opinions. In one view, the development of a carcinoma from smokeless tobacco use is definite but slow — typically, 20 to 50 years of use is required to cause the malignant changes in the oral lesions.²³ In the other view, the relationship between leukoplakia in smokeless tobacco users and oral cancer is not clear.²⁴ Numerous studies have examined the transformation rates of oral leukoplakias — that is, the time that elapses before a leukoplakic lesion shows malignant change. Pindborg and others²⁵ followed 248 patients for a mean observation period of 3.7 years; during this time, the period prevalence of malignant transformation in leukoplakia was 4.4%. Silverman and others²⁶ examined 257 patients over an average period of 7.2 years and found squamous cell carcinomas in 17.5% of patients. Banocz and Csiba²⁷ reported a 6% malignant transformation in 670 patients who were observed over a period ranging from 1 to 30 years. In addition, a study by Roed-Petersen and others¹⁹ found that the transformation rate for malignancy or epithelial dysplasia of oral leukoplakia was greater than 6%.

When cancer is found in association with smokeless tobacco use, the two most common forms of cancer are verrucous carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma.²⁸ It has been observed that the oral cancers due to smokeless tobacco use may occur in sites that are different from the cancers caused by other factors. Users of smokeless tobacco exhibit oral cancer where the quid is held, that is, the buccal or alveolar surfaces. Oral cancer that has developed due to other causes is more commonly found on the tongue, the tonsil or retro-molar area and the floor of the mouth; infrequently, it is found on the alveolar surface or buccal mucosa. Despite the location, the

clinical course of the cancer is similar. This course includes local tissue destruction in verrucous carcinoma and a high metastatic rate in squamous cell carcinoma.²⁰

Studies have shown that the presence of dysplastic changes cannot be predicted by the habit or by the clinical grading of the lesion.^{28,29} The most definitive method of determining if a lesion has progressed to cancer is to biopsy the most suspicious site.

A study conducted by Wray and McGuirt²⁰ reviewed the records of 128 patients, of whom 78% had used smokeless tobacco for 40 or more years. Of these patients, the three-year survival rate was 47%, and the five-year survival rate was 37%. Clinically, 40% of the patients had leukoplakia, erythroplakia or both at the time of presentation. This study concluded that there is a link between oral cancer and smokeless tobacco use and that, because of the cancer risk, it is important to implement early intervention to help people quit the habit.

Other Oral Effects

In addition to the risk of oral cancer, a relationship exists between various forms of periodontal disease and smokeless tobacco use. Individual cases of ANUG, gingivitis and periodontitis have been reported,³⁰ but a clear relationship does not exist between a generalized periodontal condition and smokeless tobacco use.^{8,31} Gingival recession and attachment loss have been shown to occur in the area adjacent to where the smokeless tobacco is held,³¹⁻³⁴ but some authors believe that gingival recession occurs only in smokeless tobacco users who exhibit co-existing gingivitis.³⁰ The prevalence of dental caries, gingivitis and plaque were not shown to be different in smokeless tobacco users and non-users.^{30,32,34}

Other oral effects include staining of composite restorations and teeth, halitosis, and reduction of taste and smell acuity.³⁵ One author has reported abrasion of the incisal and occlusal surfaces of teeth as an effect produced by smokeless tobacco use.³³

Smokeless tobacco has also been studied for its effects in preventing aphthous stomatitis; it was concluded that the systemically absorbed nicotine was the product that was probably responsible for the reduction of aphthous ulcers.³⁶

Systemic Effects

The systemic effects of smokeless tobacco use may not be of direct concern to dentists. Nonetheless, knowledge of these effects may assist in patient counselling. Systemic effects include nicotine dependence or addiction, transient increases in blood pressure and cardiovascular disease.^{9,34,37,38} Withdrawal symptoms such as drowsiness, nervousness, headache, irritability, and cravings have been reported.³⁷ Smokeless tobacco may contain high levels of sodium, which may contribute to elevated blood pressure. The nicotine can also contribute to transient increases in blood pressure and heart rate.² These systemic effects are of increasing concern with continuous years of smokeless tobacco use.

Role of the Practitioner

When dental personnel are actively involved in counselling patients on the harmful effects of smokeless tobacco, a greater proportion of patients stop using these products.^{27,39-42} Therefore, both dentists and dental hygienists should make the proactive

effort to identify oral lesions and conduct subsequent counselling. Dental health personnel should ask specific health questions about smokeless tobacco use and, where possible, teach patients the signs of breakdown of the hard and soft tissues and the initial stages of an oral lesion.³³ If a patient has been using smokeless tobacco frequently and for an extended period of time, a biopsy of the mucosal lesion should be performed to eliminate the possibility of dysplasia or carcinoma. If the dentist is unable to obtain a biopsy with adequate margins or is limited by the anatomical structures, then the patient should be referred to a specialist in oral medicine/pathology, oral and maxillofacial surgery or periodontology for diagnosis and treatment.²⁸

When benign lesions occur, changing the location of the smokeless tobacco placement may also be recommended if the patient will not discontinue use.⁸ Nicotine gum or transdermal nicotine patches should be considered as a substitute for patients who are addicted to the tobacco and its extracts. The use of nicotine gum has been shown to facilitate tobacco use cessation.^{43,44} Finally, dentists and hygienists should provide the patient with accurate information on the negative factors of smokeless tobacco. Fortunately, research has shown that, if lesions are identified early, the involved mucosa can revert back to normal upon cessation of smokeless tobacco use.⁴⁵

The Tobacco and Cancer Program of the National Cancer Institute (NCI) recommends that oral health professionals follow these guidelines⁴⁶ (the four As):

- **Ask** questions about tobacco use.
- **Advise** patients to stop using tobacco.
- **Assist** them in stopping.
- **Arrange** appropriate follow-up.

The NCI suggests using simple, brief, effective messages for education, prevention and cessation services, to reinforce the non-user and to prevent a return to use for those who have stopped.

Because many patients are on a regular recall schedule, dentists are in a position to effectively perform oral examinations and counsel their patients on a regular basis. The target population should be the younger generation (as young as patients in grade 4).^{1,4,5,8,47} If the health risks and periodontal risks are explained to patients, the result may be many long-term benefits and a prevention of future oral carcinomas.²⁰ ♦

Le Dr Walsh est résidente en parodontie à l'Université de la Colombie-Britannique.

Le Dr Epstein est directeur du Département de médecine dentaire du Centre hospitalier et des services de santé de Vancouver et professeur à la Division de médecine dentaire hospitalière à l'Université de la Colombie-Britannique. Il fait également partie du personnel médico-dentaire de l'Agence de lutte contre le cancer de la Colombie-Britannique à Vancouver (C.-B.).

Demandes de tirés à part : Dr Joel B. Epstein, Division de médecine dentaire, British Columbia Cancer Agency, 600, 10e avenue O., Vancouver, BC, Canada V5Z 4E6

Les auteurs n'ont aucun intérêt financier déclaré dans la ou les sociétés qui fabriquent les produits mentionnés dans cet article.

Références

1. Millar WJ. The use of chewing tobacco and snuff in Canada, 1986. *Can J Public Health* 1989; 80:131-5.
2. Main JH, Lecavalier DR. Smokeless tobacco and oral disease. *J Can Dent Assoc* 1988; 54:586-91.
3. Miller L. Smokeless tobacco. *Can Nurse* 1987; 83:14-6.
4. Hoover J, McDermott R, Hartsfield T. The prevalence of smokeless tobacco use in native children in northern Saskatchewan, Canada. *Can J Public Health* 1990; 81:350-2.
5. Abernathy TJ, Bertrand LD. The prevalence of smokeless tobacco and cigarette use among sixth, seventh and eighth grade students: a longitudinal investigation. *Can J Public Health* 1992; 83:15-8.
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Cigarette smoking-attributable mortality and years of potential life lost - United States. 1990. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 1993; 42:645-9.
7. US Department of Health and Human Services. Reducing the health consequences of smoking: 25 years of progress, a report of the surgeon general. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services. DHHS publication no. (CDC) 1989; 89-8411.
8. American Academy of Periodontology position paper. Tobacco use and the periodontal patient. *J Periodontol* 1996; 67:51-6.
9. Little SJ, Stevens VJ, LaChance PA, Severson HH, Bartley MH, Lichtenstein E, and others. Smokeless tobacco habits and oral lesions in dental patients. *J Public Health Dent* 1992; 52:269-76.
10. McCann D. Tobacco use and oral health. *JADA* 1989; 118:18-25.
11. Mecklenburg RE, Greenspan D, Kleinman DV, and others. Tobacco effects in the mouth. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service; DHHS publication no. (NIH) 1992; 92-3330.
12. Jones JK, Triplett RG. The relationship of cigarette smoking to impaired intraoral wound healing: a review of evidence and implications for patient care. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1992; 50:237-9.
13. Kaugars GE, Brandt RB, Chan W, Carcaise-Edinboro P. Evaluation of risk factors in smokeless tobacco-associated oral lesions. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1991; 72:326-31.
14. Hoffmann D, Brunnemann KD, Prokopczyk B, Djorkjevic MV. Tobacco-specific N-nitrosamines and Areca-derived N-nitrosamines: chemistry, biochemistry, carcinogenicity, and relevance to humans. *J Toxicol Environ Health* 1994; 41:1-52.
15. *The Health Consequences of Using Smokeless Tobacco: A Report of the Advisory Committee to the Surgeon General*. Washington, DC. US Dept. of Health and Human Services, 1986.
16. Winn DM. Surveillance of and knowledge about cancer associated with smokeless tobacco use. In: *Smokeless Tobacco or Health: An International Perspective*. Bethesda, Md: National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, 1993:11-18. DHHS Publication NIH 93-3461. NCI Smoking and Tobacco Control Monograph No. 2.
17. Hirsch JM, Heyden G, Thilander H. A clinical, histomorphological and histochemical study on snuff-induced lesions of varying severity. *J Oral Pathol* 1982; 11:387-98.
18. Kramer IR, Lucas RB, Pindborg JJ, Sobin LH. Definition of leukoplakia and related lesions: an aid to studies on oral precancer. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1978; 46:518-39.
19. Roed-Petersen B, Pindborg JJ. Study of Danish snuff-induced oral leukoplakias. *J Oral Pathol* 1973; 2:301-13.
20. Wray A, McGuirt WF. Smokeless tobacco usage associated with oral carcinoma. Incidence, treatment, outcome. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 1993; 119:929-33.

21. Smith JF, Mincer HA, Hopkins KP, Bell J. Snuff-dipper's lesions. A cytological and pathological study in a large population. *Arch Otolaryngol* 1970; 92:450-6.
22. Koop CE. The campaign against smokeless tobacco. *N Engl J Med* 1986; 314:1042-3.
23. Kaugars GE, Riley WT, Brandt RB, Burns JC, Svirsky JA. The prevalence of oral lesions in smokeless tobacco users and an evaluation of risk factors. *Cancer* 1992; 70:2579-85.
24. Grady D, Greene J, Daniels TE, Ernster VL, Robertson PB, Hauck W, and others. Oral mucosal lesions found in smokeless tobacco users. *JADA* 1990; 121:117-23.
25. Pindborg JJ, Jolst O, Renstrup G, Roed-Petersen B. Studies in oral leukoplakia: a preliminary report on the period prevalence of malignant transformation in leukoplakia based on a follow-up study of 248 patients. *JADA* 1968; 76:767-71.
26. Silverman S Jr, Gorsky M, Lozada F. Oral leukoplakia and malignant transformation. A follow-up study of 257 patients. *Cancer* 1984; 53:563-8.
27. Banoczy J, Csiba A. Occurrence of epithelial dysplasia in oral leukoplakia. Analysis and follow-up of 12 study cases. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1976; 42:766-74.
28. Schroeder KL, Soller HA, Chen MS Jr, Neal CJ, Glover ED. Screening for smokeless tobacco-associated lesions: recommendations for the dental practitioner. *JADA* 1988; 116:37-42.
29. Greer RO Jr, Poulson TC. Oral tissue alterations associated with the use of smokeless tobacco by teen-agers. Part I. Clinical findings. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1983; 53:275-84.
30. Offenbacher S, Weathers DR. Effects of smokeless tobacco on the periodontal, mucosal and caries status of adolescent males. *J Oral Pathol* 1985; 14:169-81.
31. Robertson PB, Walsh M, Greene J, Ernster VL, Grady D, Hauck W. Periodontal effects associated with the use of smokeless tobacco. *J Periodontol* 1990; 61:438-43.
32. Weintraub JA, Burt BA. Periodontal effects and dental caries associated with smokeless tobacco use. *Public Health Rep* 1987; 102:30-5.
33. Christen AG. The case against smokeless tobacco: five facts for the health professional to consider. *JADA* 1980; 101:464-9.
34. Ernster VL, Grady DG, Greene JC, Walsh M, Robertson P, Daniels TE, and others. Smokeless tobacco use and health effects among baseball players. *JAMA* 1990; 264:218-24.
35. Christen AG, Swanson BZ, Glover ED, Henderson AH. Smokeless tobacco: the folklore and social history of snuffing, sneezing, dipping, and chewing. *JADA* 1982; 105:821-9.
36. Grady D, Ernster VL, Stillman L, Greenspan J. Smokeless tobacco use prevents aphthous stomatitis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1992; 74:463-5.
37. Boyd GM, Glover ED. Smokeless tobacco use by youth in the US. *J Sch Health* 1989; 59:189-94.
38. Bolinder G, Alfredsson L, Englund A, de Faire U. Smokeless tobacco use and increased cardiovascular mortality among Swedish construction workers. *Am J Public Health* 1994; 84:399-404.
39. Little SJ, Stevens VJ, Severson, HH, Lichtenstein E. An effective smokeless tobacco intervention for dental hygiene patients. *J Dent Hyg* 1992; 66:185-90.
40. Stevens VJ, Severson H, Lichtenstein E, Little SJ, Leben J. Making the most of a teachable moment: a smokeless-tobacco cessation intervention in the dental office. *Am J Public Health* 1995; 85:231-5.
41. Gupta PC, Mehta FS, Pindborg JJ, Bhonsle RB, Murti PR, Daftary DK, and others. Primary prevention trial of oral cancer in India: a 10-year follow-up study. *J Oral Pathol Med* 1992; 21:433-9.
42. O'Keefe J, Lessio A, Kassirer B. A pilot smoking cessation program involving dental offices in the Borough of East York, Ontario: an initial evaluation. *J Can Dent Assoc* 1995; 61:65-7.
43. Christen AG, McDonald JL Jr, Olson BL, Drook CA, Stookey GK. Efficacy of nicotine chewing gum in facilitating smoking cessation. *JADA* 1984; 108:594-7.
44. Cohen SJ, Stookey GK, Katz BP, Drook CA, Christen AG. Helping smokers quit: a randomized controlled trial with private practice dentists. *JADA* 1989; 118:41-5.
45. Dolan TA, McGorray SP, Grinstead-Skigen CL, Micklenburg R. Tobacco control activities in U.S. dental practices. *JADA* 1997; 128:1669-79.
46. Giunta JL, Connolly G. The reversibility of leukoplakia caused by smokeless tobacco. *JADA* 1986; 113:50-2.
47. Belanger GK, Poulson TC. Smokeless tobacco: a potential health hazard for children. *Pediatr Dent* 1983; 5:266-9.

L E C E N T R E D E
D O C U M E N T A T I O N
D E L ' A D C

Pour obtenir les dernières nouvelles sur la désaccoutumance au tabac et le tabac à chiquer, veuillez communiquer avec le Centre de documentation de l'ADC au 1-800-267-6354, poste 2223, ou à info@cda-adc.ca.

1/8 page
Concord Ad
English